

Arizona Supreme Court
Miscellaneous Special Action

M-24-0026

LESLIE ANN DAVIDSON v STATE OF ARIZONA

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: **24-Jun-2024** Archive on: 10-Sep-2034 (planned)
Case Closed: **10-Sep-2024**

Dept/Composition

Side 1. LESLIE ANN DAVIDSON, Petitioner

(Litigant Group) LESLIE ANN DAVIDSON

• Leslie Davidson

PRO SE

Side 2. STATE OF ARIZONA, Respondent

(Litigant Group) STATE OF ARIZONA

• State of Arizona

CASE STATUS

Sep 10, 2024....Case Closed

Sep 10, 2024....Decision Rendered

PREDECESSOR CASE(S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
GIL	S0400CR202200284				
GIL	S0400CR202300397				
GIL	S0400CR202300398				

CASE DECISION

10-Sep-2024 ORDER

* On June 24, 2024, Petitioner filed a Petition for Review with this Court requesting that this Court "look into" her allegations that: (1) the police (i.e., Petitioner's probation officer) have committed misconduct, (2) the State has committed violations

Filed: **10-Sep-2024**

Mandate:

Decision Disposition

Dismissed Without Prejudice

Robert Brutinel

2 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

1. 24-Jun-2024 FILED: Petition for Review (Petitioner Davidson, Pro Se)

Arizona Supreme Court
Miscellaneous Special Action

M-24-0026

LESLIE ANN DAVIDSON v STATE OF ARIZONA

2 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

2. 10-Sep-2024 On June 24, 2024, Petitioner filed a Petition for Review with this Court requesting that this Court “look into” her allegations that: (1) the police (i.e., Petitioner’s probation officer) have committed misconduct, (2) the State has committed violations of her rights pursuant to *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), (3) the pending charges in Gila County Superior Court are barred by the statute of limitations, (4) the prosecutor has a conflict of interest, (5) the judge has a conflict of interest, (6) the cases pending in Gila County Superior Court violate double jeopardy, and (7) there is insufficient evidence.

Petitioner’s claims must be presented initially to the superior court in a petition for post-conviction relief pursuant to Ariz. R. Crim. P. 33, and when a final decision is entered, a party may file a timely petition for review in the court of appeals. See Ariz. R. Crim. P. 33.16. After a decision by the court of appeals, Ariz. R. Crim. P. 33.16(l) allows for the filing of a timely petition for review in this Court.

A review of the Gila County Superior Court docket for each of the matters revealed the following:

In S0400CR202200284, on July 8, 2024, Petitioner entered a plea agreement, pleaded guilty to burglary in the third degree (unlawful entry), and was sentenced.

In S0400CR202300397, on July 8, 2024, Petitioner entered a plea agreement, pleaded guilty to possession or use of a dangerous drug, and was sentenced. Petitioner filed a notice of post-conviction relief pursuant to Ariz. R. Crim. P. 33 on July 22, 2024, and post-conviction counsel was appointed on August 15, 2024.

In S0400CR202300398, on July 8, 2004, Petitioner entered a plea agreement, pleaded guilty to burglary in the second degree and was sentenced.

Upon consideration,

THE COURT FINDS that Petitioner’s Petition for Review makes no specific request for relief. Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that the Petition for Review is dismissed both as moot and without prejudice to Petitioner seeking appropriate relief pursuant to Ariz. R. Crim. P. 33 in the superior court in the first instance.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED closing case number M-24-0026.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no further filings will be accepted in this matter. (Hon. Robert Brutinel)
